



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,447	01/27/2004	Christian Bertin	04-10	8583

25944 7590 07/10/2007
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

IDOWU, OLUGBENGA O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/10/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/765,447

Applicant(s)

BERTIN ET AL.

Examiner

Olugbenga O. Idowu

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/12/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 – 8, 11– 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz, publication number: US 2004/0078817 A1 in view of Yamato, Publication #: 2002/0127000A1.

As per claims 1, 14-15 and 16, Horowitz teaches a method of recording audiovisual contents, the

contents being broadcast according to a schedule, the method including:

the content being associated with a broadcast date and time predetermined by a content broadcaster (information associated with scheduled recording, [0033], lines 1 - 7), and

step of the access terminal receiving a record file of the selected audiovisual content, said file containing information identifying the audiovisual content and the

Art Unit: 2623

scheduled date and time for broadcasting it (program record, [0051], lines 4-7, 13-17, [0052], lines 1- 3), and

Horowitz does not teach a step of selecting from an access terminal an audiovisual content to be recorded, and wherein the record file further includes the address of an update server for generating a request to update the record file, the request being sent by the terminal to the update server.

In an analogous art, Yamato teaches a step of selecting from an access terminal an audiovisual content to be recorded (In addition, the device 100 searches the data of the EPG for user's favorite programs by using keywords or types which are established in advance by the user, extracts the searched programs, and automatically records the extracted programs, [0169], lines 6 -10), and wherein the record file further includes the address of an update server for generating a request to update the record file (FTP, [0043]), the request being sent by the terminal to the update server (updating based on requests, [0051], lines 5 - 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Horowitz's dynamic event recording system by including steps of the access terminal selecting contents and the record file having the address of the update server, as described in Yamato, for the advantages of streamlining the operations of the system.

As per claim 2, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, the method including a step of updating the record file in the event of modification of the date and/or time of the broadcast (Horowitz; updating record file, [0029]), or cancellation of broadcasting a selected audiovisual content, or substitution of some other audiovisual content.

As per claim 3, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the update request includes the address of the update server and the identification information of the audiovisual content (Horowitz; FTP, [0043], lines 8 - 12).

As per claim 4, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the request is an HTTP request (Horowitz; FTP, [0043], lines 8 - 12).

As per claim 5, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the terminal sends the request to update the record file periodically up to the date and time scheduled for broadcasting the selected audiovisual content (regular updates, [0031], lines 7 - 15).

Art Unit: 2623

As per claim 6, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein, during the selection step a single audiovisual content is selected, and wherein the terminal sends the request to update the record file increasingly often as the date and time for recording the selected audiovisual content approaches (regular updates, [0031], lines 7 - 15).

As per claim 7, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the record file includes a field marked by a markup and defining the address of the update server (Horowitz; FTP, [0043]).

As per claim 8, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the record file includes at least one field marked by a markup and defining information identifying the corresponding audiovisual content associated with data describing said content (Horowitz; FTP, [0043], Horowitz; information associated with scheduled recording, [0033], lines 1 - 7).

As per claim 11, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach, a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, the

Art Unit: 2623

method including a preliminary step of selecting a plurality of contents having a common topic, and a step of receiving a record request file from which the access terminal generates a record-request request designed to be sent to a predetermined server for executing automatically the selection step (Yamato; In addition, the device 100 searches the data of the EPG for user's favorite programs by using keywords or types which are established in advance by the user, extracts the searched programs, and automatically records the extracted programs, [0169], lines 6 -10).

As per claim 12, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 11 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the record request file includes the address of said predetermined server for generating the record-request request (FTP, [0043]).

As per claim 13, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach a method according to claim 1 of recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the request includes the reference of a user for statistical purposes (updating based on requests, [0051], lines 5 - 7).

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz, publication number: US 2004/0078817 A1 in view of Yamato, Publication #: 2002/0127000A1 in further view of Carden, Patent number: US 6 996 627 B1.

As per claim 9, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach updating a record file based on changes in schedule.

The combination does not teach an identifier associated already recorded content.

In an analogous art, Carden teaches recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the record file includes at least one field marked by a markup and defining, for a given audiovisual content in the same file, a content identifier associated with a content already recorded in the storage means of the access terminal (the program data structure 200 contains some of the program information items 102 as well as identifies the location of other program information items 102, col. 6, lines 19 - 22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Horowitz and Yamato by including a way to track previously recorded items, as described in Carden's information updating system, for the advantages of saving storage space by not recording already recorded programs.

As per claim 10, the combination of Horowitz and Yamato teach updating a record file based on changes in schedule.

The combination does not teach an XML schema.

Art Unit: 2623

In an analogous art, Carden teaches recording audiovisual contents broadcast according to a schedule, wherein the syntax of files exchanged between the access terminal and the server is defined by a unique data structure schema, in particular an XML schema (XML, col. 4, lines 9 -14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Horowitz and Yamoto by including the use of XML, as described in Carden's information updating system, for the advantages of representing data structures, records and lists.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olugbenga O. Idowu whose telephone number is 571 270 1450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7am -5pm Est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571 272 7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

O.I.


CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600